

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,335	12/15/2005	Douglas Denney	US030227	6010	
28159 7590 01/24/2008 PHILIPS MEDICAL SYSTEMS		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			MANUEL,	MANUEL, GEORGE C	
P.O. BOX 3003 22100 BOTHE	S LL EVERETT HIGHWA'	Υ	· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOTHELL, WA 98041-3003			3762		
	- 1		<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	01/24/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			C.1			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/561,335	DENNEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		George Manuel	3762			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	NN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,14 and 19-23 is/are pending ir 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3-6,8,14 and 19-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers	•				
9) 🗌 10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR:1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen		о П	(070, 440)			
2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/05.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement, filed 12/15/05 cites a reference US 6,076,396. This number does not appear to be correct. From the International Search Report, it appears the reference number should be US 6,075,396. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Denniston et al (US 3,805,795).

Denniston et al disclose an automatic cardioverting circuit and teaches that "defibrillation" is included in the term "cardioversion" as a method of applying electrical shocks to the heart to defibrillate a fibrillating atrium or a fibrillating ventricle. Electrical line 11 is connected to a conductive elastomer body within electrical lead 16 which changes impedance when flexed by a heart contraction. The examiner is interpreting

10/561,335 Art Unit: 3762

the lead 16 to comprise a belt surrounding an electrode pad and the lead is inherently made of metal. A lead is used to detect the EKG using electrically conductive electrodes; the heart contractions are detected by an elastomer body which changes impedance whenever it is flexed, as for example, by heart contraction; and the cardioverting electrical impulses are applied to the heart via the same electrodes as those used to detect the EKG.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 8, 19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denniston et al (US 3,805,795).

Denniston et al shows all of the claimed features except for a package containing the electrodes. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to package the device of Denniston et al to prevent contamination and to keep the device in a sterile environment until the device is to be used with a patient.

Claims 6, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denniston et al (US 3,805,795) in view of Keusch et al (US 4,706,680).

Denniston et al show or render obvious all of the claimed features except for a hydrogel.

Keusch et al teach it is desirable for a medical electrode used for defibrillation to not store an electrical charge, and to have a low solution potential with the skin and be of low impedance.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine a hydrogel with the electrodes disclosed in Denniston et al because Keusch et al teach the hydrogels are biologically inert, and are suited to the detection of signals requiring application to or implanted within sensitive areas of the body.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

> /George Manuel/ George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762